UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

In The Federal Consistency Appeal of Millennium Pipeline Company, L.P.
From an Objection by the New York State Department of State
Pursuant To The Coastal Zone Management Act

AMICUS BRIEF OF THE VILLAGE OF CROTON-ON-HUDSON, NEW YORK AND PUBLIC COMMENTS OF THE VILLAGE OF BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NEW YORK

Neil L. Levy, Esq. Christian C. Semonsen, Esq. KIRKLAND & ELLIS 655 Fifteenth Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20005

Counsel for The Villages of Crotonon-Hudson and Briarcliff Manor, New York

TABLE OF CONTENTS

L.	Open	ning Sta	tement	1			
II.	The NYSDOS Objection Was Timely Because (1) Millennium Agreed To Extend the Review Period And (2) Any Delays Were The Result Of Millennium's Own Actions.						
	Acut		ennium Agreed To Extend The Review Period.				
	B.		Delays Were The Result Of Millennium's Own Actions.				
	D.	11101	belays were the Result of Mintellinum's Own Actions	10			
III.	Ground I The Secretary Should Uphold The NYSDOS Objection Because The Millennium Pipeline Is Not Consistent With The Objectives Or Purposes Of The						
	CZM			14			
	Α.		minary Matter Millennium's Reliance On FERC Is Misplaced				
	В.	,	nent 1 The Millennium Pipeline Does Not Further Any Of The				
			onal Interests Articulated In The CZMA In A Significant And				
			tantial Manner.	17			
		1.	The CZMA Does Not Support Millennium's Assertion That The				
			"Siting Of Energy Facilities" Per Se Furthers The National				
			Interest	17			
		2.	Millennium's Project Is Not Necessary To Meet The Nation's				
			Energy Needs.	20			
		3.	The Pipeline Will Not Serve To Promote "Compatible Economic				
			Development" Of The Coastal Zone	27			
		4.	The Pipeline Will Not Protect And Enhance Coastal Zone	,			
			Resources	28			
	C.	Elem	nent 2 The Project's Impacts on Haverstraw Bay, The Village's				
			Field, The Jane E. Lytle Memorial Arboretum, And Other Coastal				
			ources Are Significant; Any Purported Benefits Do Not Outweigh				
			e Adverse Impacts	29			
		1.	The Pipeline Will Have an Adverse Impact on Haverstraw Bay				
			a. Description of Haverstraw Bay				
			b. Millennium's Dredging Will Harm The Bay				
			c. Millennium's Blasting Techniques Will Harm The Bay				
		2.	The Village Wellfield And Arboretum Are Important Coastal				
			Resources Meriting Protection Under the CZMA.	35			
		3.	The Impacts of the Pipeline on the Village's Wellfield Will Be				
			Substantial, And The Risk To Public Drinking Water Supplies				
			Outweighs The Potential Benefits Of This Route.	37			
		4.	The Pipeline Would Permanently Alter The Jane E. Lytle	,			
			Memorial Arboretum And Threaten The Viability Of Its Wetland	44			
		5.	The Proposed Crossing Of The Catskill Aqueduct Poses An				
		-•	Unacceptable And Unnecessary Risk To New York City's				
			Drinking Water Supply	47			
		6.	Millennium Must Balance Development And Environmental	·····¬ /			
		-•	C-1-	40			

	D .	<u>Element 3</u> There Are Several Alternatives 10 The Proposed Pipeline			
		That Would Serve The Purposes Of The Project In A Manner Consistent			
		With	The CZMA	49	
		1.	The Palisades/Dobbs Ferry Alternative 1	51	
		2.	The Palisades/Dobbs Ferry Alternative 2		
		3.	Clarkstown/Route 117 Alternative		
		4.	Hudson River North Alternatives 1 and 2		
		5.	Navigation Channel Alternative		
		6.	Terminate The Pipeline At Bowline Point		
		7.	Directional Drill of Haverstraw Bay		
		8.	Partial Directional Drill of Haverstraw Bay		
		9.	System Alternatives		
		10.	Westchester Upland Alternatives		
IV.	Ground II – Upholding The NYSDOS Decision Will Not Result In Any				
	Significant Impairment Of National Security Interests.				
V.	Conclu	sion .		82	